

# MODELLING RAINFALL INTERCEPTION BY FORESTS: A NEW METHOD FOR ESTIMATING THE CANOPY STORAGE CAPACITY

FERNANDO LEITE PEREIRA (1,2), FERNANDA VALENTE (2,3), CRISTINA NÓBREGA (3)

## INTRODUCTION

Evaporation of rainfall intercepted by forests is usually an important part of a catchment water balance. Recognizing the importance of interception loss, several models of the process have been developed. A key parameter of these models is the canopy storage capacity ( $S$ ), commonly estimated by the so-called Leyton method. However, this method is somewhat subjective in the selection of the storms used to derive  $S$ , which is particularly critical when throughfall is highly variable in space. To overcome these problems, a new method for estimating  $S$  was proposed

## METHODS

For testing this new method we used data from a maritime pine forest, a eucalyptus plantation and a traditional olive grove, all located in Central Portugal.

by Pereira *et al.* (2009), which uses information from a larger number of storms, is less sensitive to throughfall spatial variability and is consistent with the formulation of the two most widely used rainfall interception models, Gash analytical model and Rutter model. However, this method has a drawback: it does not account for stemflow ( $S_f$ ). To allow a wider use of this methodology, we propose now a revised version which makes the estimation of  $S$  independent of the importance of stemflow.

SITE	MARITIME PINE	EUCALYPTUS	OLIVE GROVE
Location	Pinhal da Carrasqueira (38°50' N, 8°51' W)	Herdade de Espira east-central Portugal (38°49' N, 7°29' W)	Castelo Branco, Portugal
Age (Years)	60 (in 1993)	7, first rotation (in 1993)	80 - 90
Tree density (trees/ha)	312	1010	70 - 100
Canopy cover fraction	0.639	0.603	0.194
Climate	Mediterranean	Mediterranean	Mediterranean under continental influence



(1) Maritime pine forest



(2) Eucalyptus plantation

For the application of this new version we only need to establish a linear regression of throughfall ( $T_f$ ) vs. gross rainfall ( $P_g$ ) using data from all storms large enough to saturate the canopy.


Two of the parameters used by the Gash and the Rutter models,  $pd$  (the drainage partitioning coefficient) and  $S$ , are then derived from the regression coefficients (slope and intercept represented as  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively):  $pd$  is firstly estimated (Eq. 1) allowing then the derivation of  $S$  (Eq. 2) but, if  $S_f$  is not considered,  $S$  can be estimated making  $pd = 0$ .

$$pd = \frac{a - 1 + c \frac{E}{E_c}}{c \frac{E}{E_c} - 1} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

$$S = \frac{b}{\ln \frac{1 - \frac{E}{E_c}}{1 - pd}} \frac{R}{E_c} - 1 \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$



(3) Traditional olive grove



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This new method was tested using data from a eucalyptus plantation, a maritime pine forest and a traditional olive grove, all located in Central Portugal.

(1) Escola Superior Agrária, Instituto Politécnico de Castelo Branco, Quinta da Senhora de Mercúrios, 6001-900 Castelo Branco, Portugal  
fperreira@ipcb.pt

(2) Centro de Estudos Florestais, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal  
valente@isaulisboa.pt

(3) Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal  
cristinabarrosnobrega@hotmail.com



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For both the eucalyptus and the pine forests  $pd$  and  $S$  estimated by this new approach were comparable to the values derived in previous studies using the standard procedures. In the case of the traditional olive grove, the estimates obtained by this methodology for  $pd$  and  $S$  allowed interception loss to be modelled with a normalized averaged error less than 4%. For comparison and using the same standard procedures,  $pd$  and  $S$  were estimated for the olive grove as 0.036 and 0.52, respectively.

SITE	MARITIME PINE	EUCALYPTUS	OLIVE GROVE
Canopy cover fraction	0.639	0.603	1
Mean evaporation rate	0.315	0.200	0.224
Mean rainfall rate	1.743	1.814	1.954
Throughfall vs. gross rainfall regression equation	$T_f = 0.6864 P_g - 0.3798$	$T_f = 0.8729 P_g - 0.1864$	$T_f = 0.9473 P_g - 0.8196$
Estimates by the new methodology	$pd$ 0.036 $S$ 0.44	0.113 0.22	0.043 0.91
Estimates by common methods	$pd$ 0.008	0.032	0.036
Valente <i>et al.</i> (1997) for $P_d$ Leyton <i>et al.</i> (1967) for $S$	$S$ 0.41	0.21	0.52

## CONCLUSIONS

Globally, these results confirm that this new method is more robust and certainly less subjective than the most commonly used methods, providing adequate estimates for  $pd$  and  $S$  which, in turn, are crucial for a good performance of the interception models.

### FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

CONTEÚDO DA OBRA, REVISÃO E REVISÃO FINAL

**References:**  
Leyton, R., Reynolds, G.C. and Thompson, T.L. 1967. Rainfall interception by trees and ground cover. *USDC, Geograph. Survey, Bull.* 117.  
Pereira, F.L., Danz, J., Gash, J.S., David, T.S., Amorim, F.R. and Valente, F. 2009. Modelling interception loss from evaporation rate measurements in a maritime pine forest. *Journal of Hydrology*, 367: 103-113.  
Pereira, F.L., Danz, J., Gash, J.S., David, T.S., Amorim, F.R. and Valente, F. 2009. Modelling interception loss from evaporation rate measurements in a maritime pine forest. *Journal of Hydrology*, 367: 103-113.  
Pereira, F.L., Danz, J., Gash, J.S., David, T.S., Amorim, F.R. and Valente, F. 2009. Modelling interception loss from evaporation rate measurements in a maritime pine forest. *Journal of Hydrology*, 367: 103-113.  
Pereira, F.L., Danz, J., Gash, J.S., David, T.S., Amorim, F.R. and Valente, F. 2009. Modelling interception loss from evaporation rate measurements in a maritime pine forest. *Journal of Hydrology*, 367: 103-113.